World Journal of Current Medical and Pharmaceutical Research
Content available at www.wjcmpr.com ISSN: 2582-0222

ADVANCED ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUES FOR CHARACTERIZING NANOPARTICLE-DRUG CONJUGATES: ENHANCING CANCER THERAPY THROUGH PRECISION AND INNOVATION

Sukumar Reddy Bhuma[1*], Sasidhar Potti[2]

[*1]Department of Chemistry, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh-517502

[2]Department of Pharmacy, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh-533003

Article History

Received on: 11-07-2024
Revised on: 19-07-2024
Accepted on: 04-09-2024

Abstract

Nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems represent a transformative approach in cancer therapy, offering enhanced delivery and efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents. These systems exploit the unique properties of nanoparticles, such as their small size, large surface area, and ability to be functionalized, to target cancer cells more effectively than conventional methods. The encapsulation or conjugation of drugs to nanoparticles can improve solubility, stability, and bioavailability, enabling controlled and sustained release at the tumor site, thereby minimizing side effects and enhancing the therapeutic index. This review provides a comprehensive overview of the key analytical techniques employed to characterize nanoparticle-drug conjugates, focusing on their physicochemical, biological, and pharmacokinetic properties. The review highlights the importance of these techniques in ensuring the efficacy and safety of nanoparticle-drug conjugates, discussing the limitations of current methods and the crucial need for standardization in the field. By examining a range of methods, from basic techniques like Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) to advanced approaches such as Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy and single-particle tracking, this review aims to equip researchers with the knowledge necessary to accurately characterize these complex systems and optimize their use in cancer therapy.

Keywords: Nanoparticle-drug conjugates, cancer therapy, drug delivery, characterization techniques, Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS), Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy.

***Corresponding Author**
Sukumar Reddy Bhuma

DOI: https://doi.org/10.37022/wjcmpr.v6i2.338

Introduction

Nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems have emerged as a revolutionary approach in cancer therapy, offering the ability to significantly enhance the delivery and efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents. These systems leverage the unique properties of nanoparticles, such as their small size, large surface area, and ability to be functionalized, to target cancer cells more effectively than conventional drug delivery methods. Encapsulating or conjugating drugs to nanoparticles can improve anticancer medications' solubility, stability, and bioavailability, enabling controlled and sustained release directly at the tumour site. This targeted delivery minimises the impact on healthy tissues, reducing side effects and enhancing the therapeutic index of anticancer agents, thereby reassuring you about patient safety [1].

The detailed characterization of nanoparticle-drug conjugates plays a pivotal role in ensuring their efficacy and safety in clinical applications. This process provides crucial information about the physicochemical properties of the nanoparticles, such as size, shape, surface charge, and drug loading capacity, all of which influence their behavior in biological environments. Moreover, understanding the release profile, stability, and interaction with biological systems is essential to predict the conjugates' therapeutic performance and potential toxicity. Proper characterization ensures that the nanoparticles meet the desired specifications and helps optimize the formulation for consistent and reproducible therapeutic outcomes, ultimately ensuring patient safety and regulatory compliance [2].

Purpose and Scope of the Review

The primary aim of this review is to provide a comprehensive overview of the critical analytical techniques employed in the characterisation of nanoparticle-drug conjugates. These techniques are crucial for understanding the conjugates' physical, chemical, and biological properties, directly influencing their performance in drug delivery systems. By examining a range of methods, from basic techniques like Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) for size measurement to more advanced approaches like Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR)

for structural analysis, the review seeks to equip researchers with the necessary tools and knowledge to characterize these complex systems accurately.

Types of Nanoparticle-Drug Conjugates

Nanoparticle-drug conjugates can be categorisedas organic, inorganic, and hybrid nanoparticles based on their material composition. Organic nanoparticles include liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles made from biocompatible materials like lipids or polymers. These nanoparticles are often used for drug delivery due to their ability to encapsulate and release drugs in a controlled manner. Inorganic nanoparticles, such as gold nanoparticles and quantum dots, are composed of metals or semiconductors. They are valued for their unique physical properties, including optical and magnetic characteristics, making them suitable for therapeutic and diagnostic applications. Hybrid nanoparticles are composites combining organic and inorganic materials, offering enhanced stability, functionality, and targeted delivery capabilities [3,4].

Table 1: Types of Nanoparticle Drug Conjugates

Type of Nanoparticle	Examples	Key Characteristics
Organic Nanoparticles	Liposomes, Polymeric Nanoparticles	Biocompatible, suitable for encapsulation and controlled drug release.
Inorganic Nanoparticles	Gold Nanoparticles, Quantum Dots	Unique optical and magnetic properties used in theranostics (therapy + diagnostics).
Hybrid Nanoparticles	Organic-Inorganic Composites	Combines the benefits of both organic and inorganic materials, enhancing stability and functionality.

Mechanisms of Drug Conjugation

Covalent Attachment: This mechanism involves the formation of a stable chemical bond between the drug and the nanoparticle surface. Covalent attachment ensures a strong, stable connection that can control the drug's release rate, often requiring specific functional groups on both the nanoparticle and the drug [5].

Physical Adsorption: Physical adsorption relies on non-covalent interactions, such as electrostatic forces, hydrophobic interactions, or van der Waals forces, to attach the drug to the nanoparticle surface. This method is relatively simple and reversible, but it may result in a less stable conjugation, leading to a faster release of the drug [6].

Encapsulation: Encapsulation involves enclosing the drug within the core or matrix of the nanoparticle. This mechanism protects the drug from degradation and allows for controlled or sustained release. Encapsulation is widely used in liposomes and polymeric nanoparticles [7].

Table 2: Description of Drug Conjugation

Mechanism of Drug Conjugation	Description	Advantages	Disadvantages
Covalent Attachment	Stable chemical bond formation between drug and nanoparticl.	Robust and stable connection; controlled release.	Requires specific functional groups; complex synthesis.
Physical Adsorption	Drug adheres to nanoparticles via non-covalent interactions.	Simple, reversible, and easy to implement.	Less stable; potential for rapid drug release.
Encapsulation	The drug is enclosed within the nanoparticle core or matrix.	Protects drug from degradation; controlled release.	It may involve complex preparation processes.

Analytical Techniques for Physicochemical Characterization

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)

Principle and Application in Determining Size Distribution: Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) is a widely used technique for measuring the size distribution of nanoparticles in a solution. The principle of DLS is based on the scattering of light by particles as they undergo Brownian motion in a liquid. As the particles move, the intensity of the scattered light fluctuates over time, and these fluctuations are analysed to determine the diffusion coefficients of the particles. From these coefficients, the hydrodynamic diameter, which is indicative of the overall size of the nanoparticles, can be calculated. DLS is beneficial for assessing the size distribution and polydispersity index (PDI) of nanoparticles, providing valuable information about the uniformity and stability of nanoparticle suspensions [8].

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)

Imaging and Structural Analysis: Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) is an advanced imaging technique that provides high-resolution images of nanoparticles, allowing for detailed structural analysis. In TEM, a beam of electrons is transmitted through a thin sample, and the interaction of electrons with the sample creates an image that can reveal fine details at the atomic or molecular level. TEM is particularly valuable for visualizing nanoparticles' size, shape, and morphology, offering a direct observation of their structure. This technique is essential for confirming the formation of nanoparticles, assessing their homogeneity, and identifying any structural defects or variations. TEM also provides insights into the internal structure of nanoparticles, such as the distribution of encapsulated drugs within the nanoparticle matrix [9].

Surface Charge (Zeta Potential)

Importance in Stability and Interaction with Biological Systems

The surface charge of nanoparticles, commonly measured as zeta potential is crucial in determining their stability and behaviour in biological systems. Zeta potential reflects the electrical potential at the interface between the nanoparticle surface and the surrounding medium. A higher magnitude of zeta potential, either positive or negative, typically indicates greater repulsion between particles, which helps to prevent aggregation and maintain colloidal stability. In biological environments, the surface charge influences how nanoparticles interact with cells, proteins, and other biomolecules. For instance, positively charged nanoparticles may have enhanced cellular uptake due to electrostatic attraction to negatively charged cell membranes, but they may also trigger immune responses. Therefore, controlling the surface charge is essential for optimisingnanoparticle-drug conjugates' stability, bioavailability, and biocompatibility in therapeutic applications [10].

Zeta Potential Measurement

Zeta potential is measured using techniques such as electrophoretic light scattering (ELS) or dynamic light scattering (DLS). During measurement, an electric field is applied to the nanoparticle suspension, causing the particles to move towards the electrode of the opposite charge. The speed at which they move is proportional to their zeta potential. This velocity is then used to calculate the zeta potential, providing insights into the surface charge characteristics of the nanoparticles. These measurements are crucial for assessing the stability of nanoparticle formulations and predicting their behavior in biological systems. A zeta potential value more significant than ±30 mV generally indicates good stability, as it suggests strong repulsive forces between particles, reducing the likelihood of aggregation [11].

Table 3: Analytical Techniques for Physicochemical Characterization

Technique	Parameter Analyzed	Application
Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS)	Particle size and distribution	Measurement of hydrodynamic diameter and size distribution.
Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	Imaging and structural analysis	High-resolution imaging of nanoparticle size, shape, and internal structure.
Zeta Potential Measurement	Surface charge	Assessment of nanoparticle stability and behavior in biological systems.

Morphology and Surface Characteristics

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) is a powerful technique for detailed surface imaging of nanoparticles. SEM operates by scanning a focused beam of electrons across the sample surface, which interacts with the atoms in the sample to produce various signals that can be detected and translated into a high-resolution image. This technique provides detailed information about the surface morphology, including the nanoparticles' texture, shape, and size. SEM is particularly useful in characterizing the surface features of nanoparticle-drug conjugates, allowing researchers to observe how the drug is distributed on the nanoparticle surface and to assess any surface modifications that may affect drug delivery performance [12].

Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM)

Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) offers high-resolution surface topology imaging, allowing for the three-dimensional mapping of nanoparticle surfaces at the nanoscale. Unlike SEM, which uses electrons, AFM uses a sharp tip that physically scans the sample's surface, measuring forces between the tip and the sample surface to create a topographical map. AFM provides precise surface roughness, texture, and particle height measurements, and can even detect mechanical properties such as stiffness and elasticity. This technique is invaluable for studying the surface characteristics of nanoparticle-drug conjugates, particularly when analysing how surface modifications or coatings may influence the interaction with biological environments and overall drug delivery efficacy [13].

Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR)

FTIR is a powerful analytical technique used to identify a molecule's functional groups by measuring a sample's infrared absorption spectra. In nanoparticle-drug conjugates, FTIR is beneficial for confirming the successful conjugation of a drug to the nanoparticle surface. By comparing the FTIR spectra of the nanoparticle before and after drug conjugation, researchers can identify shifts or changes in characteristic absorption bands, which indicate the formation of new chemical bonds or interactions between the drug and the nanoparticle. This method provides crucial evidence of the functional groups involved in the conjugation process and helps verify that the drug has been effectively attached to the nanoparticle [14].

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) Spectroscopy

NMR spectroscopy is a highly detailed analytical technique that provides information on compounds' molecular structure and dynamics. For nanoparticle-drug conjugates, NMR is used to elucidate the structure of the conjugate, offering insights into how the drug is linked to the nanoparticle. NMR can also assess the drug loading by quantifying the amount of drug present in the conjugate relative to the nanoparticle. This is achieved by analyzing the chemical shifts and splitting patterns in the NMR spectra, which correspond to the different environments of atoms within the molecule. NMR is invaluable for confirming the structural integrity of the drug after conjugation and ensuring that the desired drug loading has been achieved [15].

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS)

XPS is an advanced surface analysis technique that measures the elemental composition and chemical states of atoms on the surface of a material. In the characterisation of nanoparticle-drug conjugates, XPS is used to analyse the surface chemistry, providing information about the elements present on the nanoparticle's surface and their chemical states. This technique can confirm the presence of drug molecules on the nanoparticle surface by detecting specific aspects associated with the drug and identifying any changes in the chemical environment of surface atoms due to conjugation. XPS is crucial for understanding the surface interactions between the nanoparticle and the drug, which can influence the conjugate's stability, reactivity, and effectiveness in drug delivery [16,17].

CODEN (CAS-USA): WJCMCF

High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC)

HPLC is a widely used analytical technique for the quantification of drugs released from nanoparticle-drug conjugates in in vitro studies. This method involves the separation of the drug from the nanoparticle matrix and other components in the sample using a high-pressure liquid chromatography system. The drug is then detected and quantified, typically using a UV or mass spectrometric detector, providing precise and accurate measurements of the drug concentration over time. HPLC is highly sensitive and can handle complex mixtures, making it ideal for assessing the rate and extent of drug release from nanoparticle formulations. This information is critical for determining the release kinetics and ensuring the controlled delivery of the drug to the target site [18, 19].

UV-Vis Spectroscopy

UV-Vis spectroscopy is a simple and effective method for monitoring drug release profiles in in vitro studies. This technique measures the drug's absorbance of UV or visible light as it is released from the nanoparticle matrix into the surrounding medium. By tracking changes in absorbance over time, researchers can generate a drug release profile, which shows how much of the drug is released at various time points. UV-Vis spectroscopy is particularly useful for drugs with strong absorbance in the UV or visible range, allowing for non-invasive, real-time monitoring of the release process. This method is often used in conjunction with other techniques like HPLC to provide a comprehensive understanding of drug release behaviour [20].

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) is an analytical technique used to assess the thermal stability of nanoparticle-drug conjugates by measuring the heat flow associated with phase transitions as the sample is subjected to a controlled temperature program. DSC can detect endothermic and exothermic events, such as melting, crystallization, and degradation, which provides insights into the thermal behavior and stability of both the nanoparticle and the conjugated drug. This information is crucial for determining the conditions under which the drug remains stable, as well as for optimizing storage conditions and predicting the shelf life of the formulation [21].

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) is a technique that measures the weight change of a sample as it is heated, cooled, or held at a constant temperature over time. TGA is used to assess nanoparticle-drug conjugates' thermal stability and degradation behavior by monitoring the weight loss due to decomposition, desorption, or evaporation. This technique helps in determining the temperature at which the drug or the nanoparticle begins to degrade, providing valuable information about the stability of the formulation during processing, storage, and application. TGA is beneficial for understanding the nanoparticle-drug conjugate's moisture content, volatile components, and overall thermal resilience[22].

Accelerated Stability Studies

Accelerated Stability Studies involve subjecting nanoparticle-drug conjugates to elevated temperature, humidity, and sometimes light conditions to simulate long-term storage in a shorter period. The purpose of these studies is to predict the formulation's shelf life by observing how the physical and chemical properties of the conjugate change under stress conditions. Analytical methods such as HPLC, DSC, and TGA are often used in conjunction to analyze the samples after exposure to these accelerated conditions, providing insights into the degradation pathways, stability of the drug and nanoparticles, and the formulation's overall robustness. These studies are critical for establishing expiration dates and storage recommendations and ensuring the formulation's efficacy over its intended shelf life [23,24].

Table 4: Stability Studies Techniques

Technique	Parameter Measured	Application
Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)	Thermal stability and phase transitions	Determining conditions for drug stability and shelf life optimization.
Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)	Degradation and moisture content	Assessment of thermal resilience and stability during storage and application.
Accelerated Stability Studies	Physical and chemical property changes	Prediction of shelf life and formulation robustness under stress conditions.

Confocal Microscopy

Confocal microscopy is an advanced imaging technique used to visualise the uptake and localisation of nanoparticle-drug conjugates within cells. This method involves using lasers to scan samples and create high-resolution, three-dimensional images of cells, allowing researchers to observe nanoparticles' precise distribution and location within the cellular environment. By labelling nanoparticles with fluorescent markers, confocal microscopy can track how nanoparticles enter cells, where they accumulate, and how they interact with intracellular components. This detailed visualization is crucial for understanding the mechanisms of cellular uptake, targeting efficiency, and the intracellular fate of the nanoparticle-drug conjugates, which are critical factors in assessing their therapeutic potential [25,26].

Flow Cytometry

Flow cytometry is a powerful technique for the quantitative analysis of cellular uptake of nanoparticle-drug conjugates. It works by passing cells individually through a laser beam and measuring the fluorescence intensity emitted by nanoparticles taken up by the cells. By using fluorescently labeled nanoparticles, flow cytometry can provide rapid and accurate measurements of the number of cells that have internalized nanoparticles, the extent of uptake in each cell, and the overall distribution of nanoparticles across a cell population. This quantitative data is essential for comparing the efficiency of different nanoparticle formulations, optimizing delivery conditions, and evaluating the targeting capability of the nanoparticle-drug conjugates [27].

MTT/XTT Assays

MTT and XTT assays are cytotoxicity assays that assess cell viability following treatment with nanoparticle-drug

conjugates. These assays are based on the ability of live cells to reduce tetrazolium salts (MTT or XTT) into formazan products, which are coloured compounds that can be quantified by measuring the absorbance. The amount of formazan produced is directly proportional to the number of viable cells, allowing researchers to determine the cytotoxic effects of the nanoparticle-drug conjugates. MTT/XTT assays are essential for evaluating the potential toxicity of new formulations, optimizing drug dosage, and ensuring that the nanoparticles selectively kill cancer cells while minimising harm to healthy cells [28].

LC-MS/MS for Pharmacokinetics and Bio distribution

Liquid Chromatography coupled with Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is a susceptible and precise analytical technique used to study nanoparticle-drug conjugates' pharmacokinetics and biodistribution. In pharmacokinetic studies, LC-MS/MS quantifies the concentration of the drug in biological samples over time, providing insights into absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) processes. For bio distribution studies, LC-MS/MS can detect the presence and concentration of the drug in various tissues, helping to map how the drug is distributed throughout the body and identifying potential sites of accumulation. This information is critical for understanding nanoparticle-drug conjugates' therapeutic efficacy and safety profile, as it helps ensure that the drug reaches the target tissue at therapeutic levels while minimising exposure to non-target tissues [29].

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

Positron Emission Tomography (PET) is a powerful non-invasive bio-imaging technique for tracking and localizing nanoparticles in vivo. PET imaging involves using radioactive tracers incorporated into or attached to nanoparticles. When administered to an organism, these tracers emit positrons that interact with electrons, producing gamma rays detectable by the PET scanner. This allows for real-time visualization of the distribution and accumulation of nanoparticle-drug conjugates within the body. PET is compassionate and can provide quantitative information about the bio-distribution of nanoparticles, making it an invaluable tool in assessing nanoparticle-based drug delivery systems' targeting efficiency and therapeutic potential[30].

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is another non-invasive imaging technique widely used for tracking and localising nanoparticles in vivo. MRI leverages strong magnetic fields and radio waves to produce detailed images of soft tissues and organs. Nanoparticles can be functionalised with MRI contrast agents, such as iron oxide or gadolinium, which enhance the contrast in the photos, allowing for precise localisation of the nanoparticles within the body. MRI provides high spatial resolution and can monitor the distribution and accumulation of nanoparticles over time, making it a crucial tool for evaluating nanoparticle-drug conjugates' delivery, retention, and therapeutic impact in real time [31].

Table 5: Bio imaging Techniques

Technique	Parameter Analyzed	Application
Positron Emission Tomography (PET)	Tracking and localization in vivo	Real-time visualization of nanoparticle distribution and accumulation.
Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)	High-resolution imaging	Monitoring nanoparticle delivery and retention in real-time.
Confocal Microscopy	Cellular uptake and localisation	Visualisation of intracellular distribution and nanoparticle behaviour.

Tracking and Localization of Nanoparticles in Vivo

Both PET and MRI are essential bio-imaging techniques for tracking and localising nanoparticles in vivo, each offering unique strengths. PET is highly sensitive and provides quantitative data on the biodistribution of nanoparticles, while MRI offers high-resolution anatomical detail, making them complementary tools in preclinical and clinical studies. Together, these imaging modalities allow researchers to monitor how nanoparticles behave in the body, optimise drug delivery strategies, and assess the therapeutic efficacy of nanoparticle-drug conjugates in cancer therapy and other diseases [32].

Single-Particle Tracking

Single-particle tracking is an advanced technique that precisely monitors individual nanoparticle behaviour in biological systems. By tagging nanoparticles with fluorescent or other detectable markers, researchers can observe their movement, interactions, and fate in real time within live cells or tissues. This technique provides detailed insights into the dynamics of nanoparticle uptake, trafficking, and distribution at the single-particle level, which is crucial for understanding how nanoparticles interact with biological environments and optimising their design for more effective drug delivery [33].

Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectroscopy is a label-free analytical technique used to detect and characterize nanoparticle-drug conjugates based on their vibrational modes. This technique relies on the inelastic scattering of light (Raman scattering) when it interacts with the molecular bonds within the nanoparticles or their conjugated drugs. Raman spectroscopy offers high specificity and sensitivity, allowing for the identification of the conjugates' chemical compositions and structural features without the need for additional labelling. This makes it an invaluable tool for characterising complex nanoparticle systems and monitoring their interactions with biological molecules [34].

Microfluidics-Based Approaches

Microfluidics-based approaches involve miniaturised devices that manipulate small volumes of fluids to perform high-throughput characterization and analysis of nanoparticle-drug conjugates. These systems can integrate various analytical techniques on a single chip, allowing for rapid and efficient screening of multiple nanoparticle formulations under controlled conditions. Microfluidics enables precise control

over the experimental environment, such as temperature, flow rates, and mixing, facilitating the study of nanoparticle behaviour, drug release kinetics, and cellular interactions on a micro-scale. This approach is particularly valuable for optimizing nanoparticle formulations and accelerating the development of new drug delivery systems [35].

Machine Learning and Data Analysis

Machine learning and data analysis are increasingly applied to the characterisation and predictive modelling of nanoparticle-drug conjugates. By leveraging large datasets generated from various analytical techniques, machine learning algorithms can identify patterns, correlations, and trends that may not be apparent through traditional analysis methods. These insights can help predict nanoparticle formulations' behaviour, efficacy, and safety, guiding the design and optimisation of new drug delivery systems. Additionally, machine learning can be used to automate data analysis, enhance the accuracy of predictions, and accelerate the development process, making it a powerful tool in Nano medicine [36].

Limitations of Current Techniques

Despite the advancements in analytical techniques for characterising nanoparticle-drug conjugates, several limitations remain. Concerns about sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility are prominent, as some methods may not be sensitive enough to detect low levels of drug or nanoparticle components. In contrast, others might lack specificity in distinguishing between similar chemical structures. Additionally, the reproducibility of results across different labs and experiments can be challenging, leading to inconsistencies in data interpretation and comparison. These limitations highlight the need for ongoing refinement and development of more robust and reliable analytical methods [37].

Need for Standardization

The diversity of analytical techniques and the variability in their application underscore the need for standardization in the field. Establishing clear guidelines and protocols for characterising nanoparticle-drug conjugates is essential to ensure consistency and comparability of results across different studies. Standardisation would facilitate regulatory approval processes and improve the reliability of research findings, ultimately accelerating the translation of nanoparticle-based therapies from the laboratory to the clinic [38].

Integration of Multimodal Approaches

Combining multiple analytical techniques into a multimodal approach offers a more comprehensive analysis of nanoparticle-drug conjugates. Each technique provides unique insights—ranging from size and morphology to chemical composition and biological behaviour. By integrating these methods, researchers can holistically understand the conjugates' properties, leading to better predictions of them in vivo performance and therapeutic efficacy. This approach also helps overcome the limitations of individual techniques, ensuring a more complete and accurate characterisation [39].

Future Outlook. The future of nanoparticle-drug conjugate characterization is promising, with emerging technologies poised to impact the field significantly. Innovations such as single-molecule detection, advanced imaging techniques, and artificial intelligence-driven data analysis are expected to enhance characterisation studies' precision, speed, and depth. These technologies will enable more detailed and real-time monitoring of nanoparticle behaviour in biological systems, facilitating the design of more effective and personalised drug delivery systems. As these advancements continue, they will likely drive the next generation of Nanomedicine, offering new possibilities for cancer therapy and beyond [40-45].

Conclusion

Nanoparticle-drug conjugates have shown significant promise in advancing cancer therapy by improving drug delivery and minimizing adverse effects. However, the success of these systems depends heavily on accurate characterization, which informs the optimisation and safe application of the conjugates. Current analytical techniques, while robust, face challenges related to sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility, highlighting the need for ongoing refinement and standardisation across the field. Integrating multimodal approaches offers a more comprehensive understanding of nanoparticle properties, enhancing the prediction of their in vivo performance and therapeutic efficacy. Emerging technologies such as advanced imaging methods, single-particle tracking, and machine learning-driven data analysis are expected to play pivotal roles in overcoming existing limitations. These innovations will enable more detailed, real-time monitoring of nanoparticle behaviour, paving the way for developing next-generation Nano medicine. As the field progresses, these advancements will likely drive the translation of nanoparticle-based therapies from the laboratory to clinical practice, offering new hope for effective cancer treatments.

Funding

No Funding

Acknowledgement

Not Declared.

Conflict of Interest

No Conflict of interest.

Informed Consent

Not applicable.

Ethical Statement

Not applicable.

Author Contribution

Sukumar Reddy Bhuma, Sasidhar Potti both are contributed equally.

References

1. Jain RK, Stylianopoulos T. Delivering Nano medicine to solid tumors. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2010;7(11):653-664.
2. Kumar, T. Anil, B. M. Gurupadayya, and MB Rahul Reddy. "Selective and validated spectrophotometric assay for microgram determination of ganciclovir with 1-fluoro-2,

4-dinitrobenzene and N-bromosuccinimide reagents." Journal of Applied Chemical Research 20.1 (2012): 14-27.
3. Wang AZ, Langer R, Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticle delivery of cancer drugs. Annu Rev Med. 2012;63:185-198.
4. Barenholz Y. Doxil®—the first FDA-approved nano-drug: Lessons learned. J Control Release. 2012;160(2):117-134.
5. Zhang L, Gu FX, Chan JM, Wang AZ, Langer RS, Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticles in medicine: therapeutic applications and developments. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2008;83(5):761-769.
6. Anil Kumar. T. "Development and validation of RP-LC-UV method for determination of ursodeoxycholic acid in drug substance and drug product". Journal of Global Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2016; 7(3): 3429- 3435.
7. Anil Kumar T,Kausal Kishore Chandrul. "Development and Validation of RP-LC-UV Method for Determination of Ursodeoxycholic Acid in Drug Substance and Drug Product". Journal of Global Trends in Pharmaceutical Sciences, 2018; 9(3): 5808- 5815.
8. Kumar, T. Anil, et al. "Selective and validated spectrophotometric method for determination of acyclovir and valacyclovir using N-Bromosuccinimide." Journal of Pharmacy Research 4.1 (2011): 24-27.
9. Kumar, T. Anil, B. M. Gurupadayya, and M. B. Reddy. "Selective and validated spectrophotometric methods for determination of ganciclovir with PDAB and Folin's reagents." (2012).
10. Gradishar WJ, Tjulandin S, Davidson N, et al. Phase III trial of nanoparticle albumin-bound paclitaxel compared with polyethylated castor oil–based paclitaxel in women with breast cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2005;23(31):7794-7803.
11. O'Brien ME, Wigler N, Inbar M, et al. Reduced cardiotoxicity and comparable efficacy in a phase III trial of pegylated liposomal doxorubicin HCl (CAELYX™/Doxil®) versus conventional doxorubicin for first-line treatment of metastatic breast cancer. Ann Oncol. 2004;15(3):440-449.
12. Papinaboina Venkata Rao, Chinnakadoori Sanjeeva Reddy, Ravi Kumar Marram, DantuDurga Rao, Simultaneous Determination Of Omeprazole And Domperidone In Capsules And In Vitro Dissolution Studies By Using Stability Indicating UPLC, Journal of liquid chromatography & related technologies, 2012, 35 (16), 2322-2332.
13. Kumar, T. Anil, et al. "Selective and Validated Spectrophotometric Methods for Determination of Acyclovir and Ganciclovirwith 2, 4 DNP as Reagent." Journal of Applied Chemical Research 6.1 (2012): 14-24.
14. Niroja Vadagam, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, MuvvalaVenkatanarayana, Narasimha S. Lakka, Sanjeeva R. Chinnakadoori, Separation and quantitative estimation of stereo-selective enantiomers of montelukast in pharmaceutical drug substance and tablets dosage forms by using stability-indicating normal phase-HPLC method, Chirality, 2023, 35(12), 952-965.
15. Niroja Vadagam, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, MuvvalaVenkatanarayana, Narasimha S Lakka, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Separation and quantitation of valacyclovir enantiomers using stability-indicating chiral liquid chromatography method with a chiral stationary phase of amylose tris-(3,5-dimethyl phenyl carbamate), Separation Science Plus, 2023, 6(12), 2300145.
16. Narasimha S Lakka, Chandrasekar Kuppan, Niroja Vadagam, Poornima Ravinathan, KalyaniChepuri, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Molecular docking, in-vitro anticancer evaluation and ADME profiling of 7-Oxo Midostaurin, Journal of Molecular Structure, 2023, 1293, 136159.
17. Niroja Vadagam, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, MuvvalaVenkatanarayana, Narasimha S Lakka, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Separation and simultaneous estimation of enantiomers and Diastereomers of muscarinic receptor antagonist Solifenacin using stability-indicating Normal-phase HPLC technique with chiral stationary phase amylose tris-(3,5-dimethylphenylcarbamate), Chirality, 2024, 36(2), e23632.
18. Mohan Pasham, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Niroja Vadagam, NVVD Praveen Boppy, Sanjeeva R Chinnakadoori, Narasimha S Lakka, Separation and quantification of organic-related impurities of betaadrenergic receptor blocking agent propranolol in pharmaceutical solid dosage forms: Impurity profiling using stability-indicating HPLC method, 2024, 7(1), 2300159.
19. N. V. V. D. Praveen Boppy, Sharath Babu Haridasyam, Niroja Vadagam, MuvvalaVenkatanarayana, Sanjeeva R. Chinnakadoori, Narasimha S. Lakka, Separation and quantification of organicrelated impurities of anti-histamine drug hydroxyzine in pharmaceutical dosage forms using stability-indicating high-performance liquid chromatography, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, and high-resolution mass spectrometry techniques, Separation Science Plus, 2024, 2300157.
20. Bertrand N, Wu J, Xu X, Kamaly N, Farokhzad OC. Cancer nanotechnology: the impact of passive and active targeting in the era of modern cancer biology. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2014;66:2-25.
21. Wicki A, Witzigmann D, Balasubramanian V, Huwyler J. Nanomedicine in cancer therapy: challenges, opportunities, and clinical applications. J Control Release. 2015;200:138-157.
22. Peer D, Karp JM, Hong S, Farokhzad OC, Margalit R, Langer R. Nanocarriers as an emerging platform for cancer therapy. Nat Nanotechnol. 2007;2(12):751-760.
23. Allen TM, Cullis PR. Liposomal drug delivery systems: from concept to clinical applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2013;65(1):36-48.
24. Kakullamarri PR, Rao KLN (2017) Enhanced Bioavailability and Anticancer Activity of Vitamin Analogs. J BioequivAvailab 9: 439-441
25. Gao X, Cui Y, Levenson RM, Chung LW, Nie S. In vivo cancer targeting and imaging with semiconductor quantum dots. Nat Biotechnol. 2004;22(8):969-976.
26. McNeil SE. Nanotechnology for the biologist. J Leukoc Biol. 2005;78(3):585-594.

27. Parveen S, Misra R, Sahoo SK. Nanoparticles: a boon to drug delivery, therapeutics, diagnostics and imaging. Nanomedicine. 2012;8(2):147-166.
28. Manda P, Popescu C, Juluri A, Janga K, Kakulamarri PR, Narishetty S, et al. Micronized Zaleplon Delivery via Orodispersible Film and Orodispersible Tablets. AAPS PharmSciTech. 2018 Jan 19;19(3):1358–66.
29. Byrne JD, Betancourt T, Brannon-Peppas L. Active targeting schemes for nanoparticle systems in cancer therapeutics. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2008;60(15):1615-1626.
30. Davis ME, Chen ZG, Shin DM. Nanoparticle therapeutics: an emerging treatment modality for cancer. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2008;7(9):771-782.
31. Conner SD, Schmid SL. Regulated portals of entry into the cell. Nature. 2003;422(6927):37-44.
32. Tallam AK, Sahithi A, Nuli MV. Evaluation of antibacterial property of anthocyanin extracted from brassica oleracea against gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria by using erythromycin as a standard drug. Int J Indig Herb Drug [Internet]. 2023Feb.19 8(1):1.
33. Jain RK, Stylianopoulos T. Delivering Nano medicine to solid tumors. Nat Rev Clin Oncol. 2010;7(11):653-664.
34. Kakulamarri PR, Latha Alikatte K. Transdermal Iontophoresis of Non-Polar Drugs: A Mini Review. Journal of Pharmaceutics & Drug Delivery Research. 2016;5(3).
35. Wang AZ, Langer R, Farokhzad OC. Nanoparticle delivery of cancer drugs. Annu Rev Med. 2012;63:185-198.
36. Moghimi SM, Hunter AC, Murray JC. Long-circulating and target-specific nanoparticles: theory to practice. Pharmacol Rev. 2001;53(2):283-318.
37. Farokhzad OC, Langer R. Impact of nanotechnology on drug delivery. ACS Nano. 2009;3(1):16-20.
38. Lakshmi Narasimha Rao K, Praneeth Rao K. Development and Validation of a Stability-Indicating LC Method for Determination of Bexarotene in Softgel Dosage Formulation. Chromatographia. 2017 Jun 23;80(8):1211–24
39. Maeda H, Wu J, Sawa T, Matsumura Y, Hori K. Tumor vascular permeability and the EPR effect in macromolecular therapeutics: a review. J Control Release. 2000;65(1-2):271-284.
40. Danhier F, Feron O, Préat V. To exploit the tumor microenvironment: Passive and active tumor targeting of nanocarriers for anti-cancer drug delivery. J Control Release. 2010;148(2):135-146.
41. Rao Kakullamarri P, Tiyyagura P, Suresh Babu K. A Stable Formulation of a Non-Steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drug, Ibuprofen and an Antihistamine drug, Famotidine for a Combination Therapy. Journal of International Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2023 Nov 1;18(2):38–50.
42. Brigger I, Dubernet C, Couvreur P. Nanoparticles in cancer therapy and diagnosis. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2012;64(1):24-36.
43. Tallam, A. K., Alapati , S., & Nuli, M. V. (2023). A review on bioanalytical method development and validation of anticancer drugs by using lc/ms/ms and its applications on routine analysis. Journal of Integral Sciences, 6(1), 4-19. https://doi.org/10.37022/jis.v6i1.51
44. Tallam AK, Sahithi A, Nuli MV. A Review on Peptide-based Therapeutics For Combatting COVID-19. International Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Sciences And Research. 2023 Apr 26;8(01):8-16.